CAMPO DI FIORI, OR WALLS

When Czesław Miłosz wrote his “Campo di Fiori” in Warsaw in 1943, he must have known that “human nature” (however we define it) is most resistant to change:

W Rzymie na Campo di Fiori
Kosze oliwek i cytryn,
Bruk opryskany winem
I odłamkami kwiatów.
Różowe owoce morza
Sypią na stoły przekupnie,
Naręcza ciemnych winogron
Padają na puch brzoskwini.

Tu na tym właśnie placu
Spalono Giordana Bruna,
Kat płomień stosu zażegnał
W kole ciekawy gawiedzi.
A ledwo płomień przygasnął,
Znów pełne były tawerny,
Kosze oliwek i cytryn
Nieśli przekupnie na głowach.

In Rome, on Campo dei Fiori,
baskets of olives and lemons
cobbles spattered with wine
and the wreckage of flowers.

Vendors cover the trestles
with rose-pink fish;
armfuls of dark grapes
heaped on peach-down.

On this same square
they burned Giordano Bruno.
Henchmen kindled the pyre
close-pressed by the mob.
Before the flames had died
the taverns were full again,
baskets of olives and lemons
again on the vendors’ shoulders.

Life goes on, and things go back to normal: excitement, even if evoked by the suffering of a thinker, whose courage in questioning the dogmatics of the Roman Catholic church for the good of all those oppressed by it would not gain any support from those less courageous, will always die down. Awe, sympathy, glee, horror, or anger always eventually yield to what most of us, ordinary bread-eaters, value most: our “small stability,” our own little peace. The cobbles, once spattered with the blood of the hapless convict, soon provide the riverbed for accidentally spilt wine; the “wreckage of flowers” readily replaces the broken remains of what used to be a human being, lost among the smoldering embers of the pyre. Nihil novi.
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Little has changed between February 17th, 1600, and the Palm Sunday of April 19th, 1943. The metallic rattle of machine guns and thundering explosions—the obvious sounds of the desperate, almost month-long battle against the Nazi terror in the Warsaw ghetto—doubtlessly reach the rest of the city, which remains oblivious to the ongoing drama. The tall wall, separating the “small stability” of those who have (mis)lead themselves into believing that whatever happens behind the (artificial) divide is none of their business, from the tragedy of those whose choice is limited to death by the bullet in one last effort to retain their human dignity, or death by Zyklon B in the gas chambers of Auschwitz, is not impenetrable. People know: flying high, spinning on a tall merry-go-round, right above their heads they do see the “dark kites” of smoke from invisible, but raging, fires; black petals of soot could not be mistaken for flowers. And it is only when the misery strikes them directly, when suffering affects their families, that they will choose to act, expecting the world to see their struggle as its own. (S)laughter: the paranoid reality of the mad carrousel of indifference.

I thought of Campo dei Fiori in Warsaw by the sky-carrousel one clear spring evening to the strains of a carnival tune. The bright melody drowned the salvos from the ghetto wall, and couples were flying high in the blue sky.

At times wind from the burning would drift dark kites along and riders on the carrousel caught petals in midair. That same hot wind blew open the skirts of the girls and the crowds were laughing on the beautiful Warsaw Sunday.

Morał ktoś może wyczyta,
Że lud warszawski czy rzymski
Handluje, bawi się, kocha
Mijając męczeńskie stosy.
Inny ktoś może wyczyta
O rzeczy ludzkich mijaniu,
O zapomnieniu, co rośnie,
Nim jeszcze płomień przygasnął.

Someone will read a moral that the people of Rome and Warsaw haggle, laugh, make love as they pass by martyrs’ pyres. Someone else will read of the passing of things human, of the oblivion born before the flames have died.
Passing moral judgments or philosophizing over a glass of wine by the fireplace is such a nice pastime: we enjoy feeling righteous and, if there is no superball on TV, we even will take part in a public demonstration (carefully avoiding the crowd control units) to post selfies on our Facebook walls to validate our “heroic story.” But it is precisely the Facebook wall that separates us—petty, self-righteous “heroes”—from those who pay the price of their heroism every day.

Shot to death, wounded, beaten up by people in uniforms, arrested, tortured, deprived of rights, sent to camps, separated from their families, executed—those “behind the wall” will often remain anonymous to the world on the other side, alien both to those indifferent and those enjoying their “intimate revolt” sitting safe on the “right side of the wall,” who do not speak their language, although they claim they do. Heroes are, and probably have always been, lonely: a truism, beyond doubt, but one gaining a new dimension in the age of the social media, alt-facts and post-truth. Yet, even today, once the burnt wreckage of the hero, whose truth is not “alternative,” is cleared up from some modern Campo di Fiori, life ousts death again:


But that day I thought only of the loneliness of the dying, of how, when Giordano climbed to his burning he could not find in any human tongue words for mankind, mankind who live on.


Already they were back at their wine or peddled their white starfish, baskets of olives and lemons they had shouldered to the fair, and he already distanced as if centuries had passed while they paused just a moment for his flying in the fire.

Those dying here, the lonely forgotten by the world, our tongue becomes for them the language of an ancient planet. Until, when all is legend and many years have passed, on a new Campo dei Fiori rage will kindle at a poet’s word.
One may only hope. Clearly, humankind cherishes legends, but learns little from history. Between 1600 and 1943, between 1943 and 2018 walls have efficiently been giving us all a sense of a most dangerously false sense of freedom, which Anaïs Mitchell makes very obvious in her simple, yet thought-provoking song “Why Do We Build the Wall” from her 2010 album Hadestown. In the song, Hades, modeled after the Greek god of the underworld, teaches his followers thus:

Why do we build the wall, my children, my children?
[...]
We build the wall to keep us free
[...]
How does the wall keep us free, my children, my children?
[...]
The wall keeps out the enemy
And we build the wall to keep us free
[...]
Who do we call the enemy, my children, my children?
[...]
The enemy is poverty
And the wall keeps out the enemy
And we build the wall to keep us free
[...]
Because we have and they have not, my children, my children
Because they want what we have got
[...]
Because we have and they have not
Because they want what we have got
The enemy is poverty
And the wall keeps out the enemy
And we build the wall to keep us free
[...]
What do we have that they should want, my children, my children?
[...]
We have a wall to work upon
We have work and they have none
And our work is never done
My children, my children
And the war is never won
The enemy is poverty
And the wall keeps out the enemy
And we build the wall to keep us free
That’s why we build the wall

It is precisely this kind of circular argumentation that, quite literally, revolves around the walls offering those (temporarily) privileged protection from the realization of the uncomfortable fact that they stand by while others suffer and die. Unable to see beyond the wall, one finds it easier to retain his or her sense of morality. But the opacity of the wall does not make it sound-proof: like those on the misleadingly peaceful side of the wall of the struggling Warsaw ghetto, one can undeniably hear the sounds of the losing battle. And even though not even the heroes themselves can blame us for not wanting to go up in flames like Giordano Bruno or to sacrifice our lives like the insurgents of the ghetto, valuing our “small stability,” our own little peace, we may still choose to take small-scale, unheroic action to help those on the other side. After all, as writers, teachers, public speakers and social activists, we can make others realize that their votes will count if they choose not to see themselves individually as “just another brick in the wall,” helpless and unimportant.

Not all of us are brave enough to be capable of true heroism. But this issue of the Review of International American Studies is a step towards a change. Combining text and image (which, apparently is worth more than a thousand words), it grants the international academic community an insight into the dramas playing out beyond the many walls that, supposedly, are to “keep us free,” although in fact they have become a prison of an illusion of safety and a weapon that may sooner or later be used against those who pretend not to hear the noise of the ongoing battle “on the other side.”
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1. The quoted text comes from the following service: https://genius.com/Anais-mitchell-why-we-build-the-wall-lyrics (access 02.02.2018).
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